Dramatic Change for the US Offshore Wind Industry in 2018

Willett Kempton Professor, University of Delaware College of Earth, Ocean and Environment & Dept of Electrical and Computer Engineering Affiliated Professor, Elektro, Technical University of Denmark

> Presented at: Delaware Energy Services Coalition 5th Annual Energy Conference 31 October 2018 Stanton, DE

New Developments in OSW

- Background: a very large resource
- Technologies developing rapidly in Europe
- Policies have developed very well in Eastern US
 - Prices dropped much faster than predicted
 - Now cost-competitive with power market in NE

This talk craws on

- UD Special Initiative on Offshore Wind policy advising
- Learning from Europe
- Advising US Eastern state governments

University of Delaware (UD)'s Offshore Wind Research (in CCPI)

Large resource on Mid-Atlantic



Examine resource of entire Mid-Atlantic

Vs. load

•



Needs

	GWavg
Electricity	73
Cars	29
Heating	83
total	185



Needs vs. Resource

	GWavg
Electricity	73
Cars	29
Heating	83
total	185

from: Kempton et al, Geophysical Research Letters, 2007, Vol 34, L02817.

m	Excl	GWa
0-20	0.46	60
20-50	0.4	117
50-100	0.1	153
Total		330

All of electricity, cars and heating uses 2/3 of the wind resource, dropping regional CO₂ by 68%.

- The offshore wind resource of the US Eastern continental shelf is insanely large
 - Could run all Eastern coastal states electricity, light vehicles & building heat!
 - 18x more than Eastern offshore oil resource
- Previously not understood by decision-makers, and initially disbelieved
 - State-level calculations affected policy & development decisions

Results: Resource

The way it was... 2017

- During the Ancient history of US offshore wind power pre-2017...
 - States solicit projects one at a time (example: Bluewater Wind)
 - Typical offshore turbine size: 6 MW
 - Typical project size: built 30 MW; solicitations ~200 MW
 - Power contracts at $20\phi 30\phi / kWh$ (versus wholesale market ~9 ϕ)

Table ES-2. Impact of scale: Comparison of New England LCOEs

Project	Anticipated Financial close (year)	Project size (MW)	OSW Market Visibility in New England (MW)	LCOE (¢/kWh)
MA project proposed	2014 ^a	468	400	24¢ ^b
RI project under construction	2015	30	30	30¢°

What UD SIOW Advised

- Create secure and visible market to industry (developers, supply chain, lenders)
- States commit to a pipeline of projects, not to "try" one project
- Create a competitive environment—at least 3 bidders to provide power
- Many measures to reduce uncertainty and risk—site risk and revenue risk—which reduces cost of capital, with reduces cost of energy
 - State facilitates early site characterization, make available to all
 - Secure revenue by Power Purchase Agreement
- Entrants competitively bid (no feed-in tariffs etc)
- If states do these, and technology continues advancing, we predicted price reductions ...

Table ES-2. Impact of scale: Comparison of New England LCOEs

Project	Anticipated Financial close (year)	Project size (MW)	OSW Market Visibility in New England (MW)	LCOE (¢/kWh)
MA project proposed	2014 ^a	468	400	24¢ ^b
RI project under construction	2015	30	30	30¢°
Tranche A (this study)	2020	400	2,000	16.2¢
Tranche B (this study)	2023	800	2,000	12.8¢
Tranche C (this study)	2027	800	2,000	10.8¢

^a Proposed Cape Wind project has not yet reached financial close.
 ^b Calculated from National Grid PPA terms, reported in Musial and Ram (2010), converted to LCOE.
 ^c Calculated from PPA terms reported in Musial and Ram (2010), converted to LCOE.

Then, Vineyard Wind bid awareded August, 2018 ...

Table ES-2.	Impact of scale:	Compariso
-------------	------------------	-----------

Project	Anticipated Financial close (year)	Project size (MW)	OSW Market Visibility in New England (MW)	LCOE (¢/kWh)	
MA project proposed	2014 ^a	468	400	24¢ ^b	
RI project under construction	2015	30	30	30¢°	
Tranche A (this study)	2020	400	2,000	16.2¢	
Tranche B (this study)	2023 20 1	800 21	2,000	12.8 ¢	7.
Tranche C (this study)	2027	800	2,000	10.8¢	

^a Proposed Cape Wind project has not yet reached financial close.
 ^b Calculated from National Grid PPA terms, reported in Musial and Ram (2010), converted to LCOE.
 ^c Calculated from PPA terms reported in Musial and Ram (2010), converted to LCOE.

on of New England LCOEs



Low cost, clean, large scale — thus large deployments planned

Leases and Call Areas, 2018



Deepwater One North Lease Bay State Wind (Ørsted) 8 to 26 miles from land 10 to 44 miles from land Estimated maximum. Estimated maximum capacity 1,600 MW capacity 3,000 MW Vineyard Wind (CIP and Avangrid) 14 to 35 miles from land Deepwater One South Lease 14 to 35 miles from Shoals 11 to 25 miles from land Estimated maximum capacity 1,100 MW WEA 0503 Lease Area 28 to 48 miles from land Estimated maximum capacity 2,300 MW WEA 0502 Lease Area 19 to 48 miles from land Fairways South Call Area Estimated maximum 17 to 34 miles from land capacity 4,000 MW

Hudson North Call Area 24 to 60 miles from land Estimated maximum capacity 9,500 MW

NYS proposed Area of Consideration (east) 27 to 57 miles from land Estimated maximum capacity 6,200 MW*

Hudson

Estimated maximum capacity 1,700 MW

Total capacity of these areas = 52,100 MW

Estimated maximum capacities assume use of full extents of lease areas and are calculated with a 80% packing factor and a 0.02MW/area turbine density based on typical European wind farms.

20

Miles

*In October 2017, New York State requested that BOEM identify at least four new lease areas within the Area for Consideration, each capable of siting at least 800MW of offshore wind.

Esri, DeLorme, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors, Sources: Esri, GEBCO, NOAA, National

Committmens as of Oct 2018

- 10 GW committed from MA to Carolinas, to build 2020 through 2030
- One large nuclear power plant = 1 GW0
- So, we have to figure out how to build the power equivante of one nuclear power plant per year, for 10 years
 - That's already a \$30B capital investment
 - (And, every expectation it will grow well beyond that)
 - A lot of facilities to build, and people to train!
- AND, Turbine technology continuing to develop...

Compare: UD Turbine in Lewes UD's Without Turbine (b) Gamesa (c) STORE



2 MW capacity, producing 1.3 MW today





G. Cabrera, 20/06/2017

whims, but giant turbines may take flight next decade and run by operators at market prices.



New size: 12 MW, 220 m rotor

12 MW capacity

220-meter rotor

107-meter long blades

260 meters high

67 GWh gross AEP

63% capacity factor

38,000 m² swept area

Wind Class IEC: IB

Generates double the energy as previous GE Haliade model

Generates almost 45% more energy than most powerful wind turbine available on the market today

Will generate enough clean power for up to 16,000 European households per turbine, and up to 1 million European households in a 750 MW configuration windfarm



Compare: 220 m rotor diameter, versus Airbus A380 Wing Span = 80 m





Why not bigger turbines on land as well?

Land-based turbine size limited due to transport limits



Transportable size

From: Keystone Towers; DOE/EE-1218

On-land transport difficult



80 m blade for 7MW turbine

Image: US Quadrennial Energy Review, 2015

Future: More efficient deployment at sea

Offshore Wind Will be Industrialized

Serial production in port, not one-off at sea

Kempton et al, DOE-funded study



Mass Production of Turbines in Port

Build, commission, queue for installation weather window



Deployment of entire structure in one piece





Lower to seafloor as one piece; no construction at sea

Reference: Kempton et al "Industrializing Offshore Wind" Report and video at: <u>http://bit.ly/2A0hJOk</u>



Conclusions

- Offshore wind resource on US East Coast is huge
- Until this year, power has been substantially above power market price
- US Policy developments, plus technology have brought price to or below market for power
- Very large expansion already committed 10 GW in 10 years